IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 27611 of 1998

Between:

M.Anjinaiah, S/o. M.Hanumanthu,
R/o. H.No. 1/216, Bharpet, 1st Ward,
Near Durgamma Temple, Adoni, Kurnool.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Telecom District Manager,
Kurnool. .....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not allotting S.T.D.P.T. to the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and against principles of natural justice and consequently to direct the respondent to allot S.T.D.P.T. to the petitioner pending disposal of the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.C.RAMACHANDRA REDDY

Counsel for Respondent : Mr. MR.A.RAJASHEKAR REDDY
Senior Standing Counsel for Central Govt.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Questioning the action of the respondent in not allotting S.T.D.P.T. to the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to the respondent to allot S.T.D.P.T. to the petitioner.

None appears for the petitioner.

The learned Standing Counsel appearing for Respondent submits that no cause survives in this writ petition and it has become infructuous.

Recording the submissions made by the learned Standing Counsel, this Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 13.4.2005

DA/SVV

To

1. The Telecom District Manager, Kurnool.
2. Two CD copies.